



Special Committee Q207

Development and Intellectual Property

Names and Functions of Committee Members

Chair	Michael Brunner	(United Kingdom)
Co-Chair	Leticia Provedel	(Brazil)
Secretary	Damon Henshaw	(Australia)
Members	Andrea Garcés Asa Hellstadius Kay Konishi Koichi Naka Emma Cariño Francisco France Côté	(Spain) (Sweden) (Japan) (Japan) (Philippines) (Canada)
Responsible Reporter	Kazuhiko Yoshida	(Japan)

1. Report of SC Q207 for Executive Committee meeting, Helsinki, September 2013.

2. Summary:

2.1. Current and future issues:

Two WIPO CDIP meetings (CDIP10 and CDIP11) have taken place since the last report of this Committee and have been attended.

2.2. Deadline: Helsinki ExCo meeting

2.3. Action recommended

Discussion with RGT and approval of various actions in relation to AIPPI involvement in CDIP12 and International Conference on Intellectual Property and Development.

3. Introduction

Intellectual Property for Development lies at the core of WIPO's Strategic Goal III, "Facilitating the Use of IP for Development, under the WIPO Strategic Framework and Program Structure" of [Program and Budget 2010-2011](#). IP for Development is positive statement of the notion that IP is not an end in itself but rather is a tool that can help to power countries' growth and development. WIPO is mandated to promote the protection of intellectual property through cooperation among states and in

collaboration with other international organisations, has committed to trying to ensure that all countries are able to benefit from the use of IP for economic, social and cultural development. Implied in this are the notions of balance, accessibility and reward for creativity and innovation.

AIPPI SC Q207 is mandated to monitor, study and report on development and intellectual property related issues, in particular to monitor, study, report on a work programme and recommendations of WIPO's Committee on Development and Intellectual Property (CDIP) and to represent AIPPI at the CDIP meetings.

IP for Development is a goal that drives not only WIPO's development-specific programs, but all of its substantive areas of work, based on principles made clear under the [WIPO Development Agenda](#). Technical assistance and capacity building programs and activities are custom-made, country-specific and demand-driven. They leverage all the resources available across WIPO.

The Millennium Development Agenda Goals (MDG) have been 'mainstreamed' into WIPO organisation and activities. However, the CDIP has developed a life of its own and increasingly discusses a very wide range of topics (see this committee's reports on CDIP10 and CDIP11).

4. Report of Committee's activities

- 4.1 The Committee has reported against the activities of WIPO and delegations in connection with the CDIP meetings. To maintain more extensive monitoring of development activities falling with the definition of the UN Millennium Development Agenda is deemed to be the wider responsibility of AIPPI committees in general now that WIPO has 'mainstreamed' the Millennium Development Agenda Goals (MDG) into WIPO organisation and activities.
- 4.2 Committee members met in Seoul to discuss activities for the year 2012-2013, attended the CDIP10 meeting and reported thereon to the Bureau, held discussions with Secretariat members of WIPO, held a conference call to discuss the work of the Committee, and attended the CDIP11 meeting and reported thereon to the Bureau

5. Recommendations

- 5.1 AIPPI should consider the following actions
 - a. Facilitate education of CDIP delegates in specific IP matters
 - b. Consider whether AIPPI has the resources to take part in Activity 5 of the report in [CDIP/11/6](#)
 - c. Take part in the International Conference on Intellectual Property and Development – Nov. 14/15, 2013 - see document [CDIP/11/5](#) and provisional programme [here](#). Themes 4, 5 & 6 of the proposed format seem to be possibilities
 - d. Provide information to the CDIP discussions on "Future Work on Patent-Related Flexibilities in the Multilateral Legal Framework", especially in view of CDIP11 decision in this regard (see [CDIP11 Chair's Summary](#)).

If AIPPI is to remain involved in the activities of the CDIP and provide resources for this, then it should try to take an active role in the work of the CDIP. Given AIPPI's resolution resources it should not attempt to deal with matters beyond its core competences but should take action to make the best use of its current and historical database.

5.2 Priorities for the following year's work of the Special Committee are as set out above.

Michael Brunner
Chairman Special Committee Q207
05 August 2013